

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,829	07/29/2009	Steven D. Kimmell	17683 US PCT (AP)	5234
51957 ALLERGAN,	7590 11/18/201 INC.	EXAMINER		
2525 DUPONT DRIVE, T2-7H			BOSWORTH, KAMI A	
IRVINE, CA 9	2612-1599		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/18/2011	FI ECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents_ip@allergan.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/599,829	KIMMELL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
KAMI A. BOSWORTH	3767	

	KAMI A. BOSWORTH	3767					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence ac	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after 58/6 (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Fallut to reply with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned paint term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Se	eptember 2010.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.						
3) An election was made by the applicant in responsible. 11/10/2011; the restriction requirement and ele	·	-	e interview on				
4) Since this application is in condition for allowar	4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
5) Claim(s) 51-62 is/are pending in the application 5a) Of the above claim(s) 52-62 is/are withdraw 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.						
Application Papers							
10) The specification is objected to by the Examine 11) The drawing(s) filed on 11 October 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctled 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15.	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ((PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/3B/06)	5) Notice of Informal Pater Lapplication	_
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/22/2010.	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/599,829 Page 2

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

 This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species A: Figures 1-3

Species B: Figures 4-9

Species C: Figures 13-14

Species D: Figures 19-22

The special technical feature of Species A, a rotatable drum and fixed inner drum, is not shared in the other Species. The special technical feature of Species B, a guide plate and plunger base, is not shared in the other Species. The special technical feature of Species C, a syringe and manifold, is not shared in the other Species. The special technical feature of Species D, a shell, membrane and diverter, is not shared in the other Species. Therefore, the respective groups lack the same or corresponding special technical features and do not relate to a single general inventive concept.

Lack of unity of invention may be directly evident "a priori," that is, before considering the claims in relation to any prior art, or may only become apparent "a posteriori," that is, after taking the prior art into consideration. For example, independent claims to A + X, + Y, X + Y can be said to lack unity a priori as there is no subject matter common to all claims. In the case of independent claims to A + X and A + Y, unity of invention is present a priori as A is common to both claims. However, if it can be established that A is known, there is lack of unity a posteriori, since A (be it a single feature or a group of features) is not a technical feature that defines a contribution over the prior art.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims

Application/Control Number: 10/599,829

Art Unit: 3767

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, no claims are generic. It is noted that the application discloses other Species not presented above; however since no claims are drawn to these Species, they have not been presented in this requirement.

REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

Application/Control Number: 10/599,829 Page 4

Art Unit: 3767

WHEN CLAIMS ARE DIRECTED TO MULTIPLE CATEGORIES OF INVENTIONS

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
 - (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

- 2. During a telephone conversation with Linda Fox on 11/10/2011 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Species A, claim 51. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 52-62 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Application/Control Number: 10/599,829

Art Unit: 3767

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Priority

4. Applicant's claim for the benefit of a prior-filed application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) is acknowledged. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) as follows:

The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application). The disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

The Oath filed 7/29/2009 claims benefit to provisional application no. 60/561,378, 60/561,400 and 60/561,389 under 35 U.S.C. 119(e). However, the disclosure of applications no. 60/561,400 and 60/561,389 fail to provide adequate support or enablement in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 for the claims drawn to the elected Species. Accordingly, claim 51 is not entitled to the benefit of application no. 60/561,400 and 60/561,389.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,829
Art Unit: 3767

5. It is noted that this application appears to claim subject matter disclosed in prior PCT application PCT/US05/12122 and prior provisional application 60/561.378. A reference to all prior applications must be inserted as the first sentence(s) of the specification of this application or in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.76), if applicant intends to rely on the filing date of the prior application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121, or 365(c). See 37 CFR 1.78(a). For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c), the reference must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of all nonprovisional applications. If the application is a utility or plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000. the specific reference to the prior application must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the application is a utility or plant application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). This time period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or 120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). A benefit claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable

Application/Control Number: 10/599,829

Art Unit: 3767

petition to accept an unintentionally delayed benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450.

If the reference to the prior application was previously submitted within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.78(a), but not in the first sentence(s) of the specification or an application data sheet (ADS) as required by 37 CFR 1.78(a) (e.g., if the reference was submitted in an oath or declaration or the application transmittal letter), and the information concerning the benefit claim was recognized by the Office as shown by its inclusion on the first filing receipt, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a) and the surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t) are not required. Applicant is still required to submit the reference in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) by filing an amendment to the first sentence(s) of the specification or an ADS. See MPEP § 201.11.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5)
 because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the

Art Unit: 3767

description: fixed inner drum 24, access port 30, containment element 228 and lumen 524. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

7. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 443 in Figure 18. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 10/599,829

Art Unit: 3767

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gartstein et al. (PG PUB 2004/0087992) in view of Sher (US Pat 6,475,160).
- 10. Re claim 1, Gartstein et al. disclose a multi-site injection system (Fig 49-50) comprising: a rotatable drum 781 (Fig 49,50) having an outer surface (as seen in Fig 49,50) with microprotrusions 787 (Fig 49,50) thereon, said microprotrusions having lumens 788 (Fig 49) therethrough for enabling transport of a medicament therethrough from an inner surface (as seen in Fig 49,50) of said rotatable drum and into a stratum corneum of a user (Para 222,223); a fixed inner drum 782 (Fig 49,50) for supporting said rotatable drum and having at least one radial opening 757 (Fig 49,50) therein for providing said medicament to the microprotrusion lumens (Para 223); a supply of said medicament disposed within the inner drum (in reservoir 759, Fig 50; Para 222,223); and a support 786 (Fig 50) for supporting the rotatable drum and the inner drum (Para 218) and for exposing an arcuate portion of said rotatable drum in order to enable rotation of said rotatable drum by rolling said rotatable drum against a user's skin (Para 223). Gartstein et al. disclose that the device could have two supports connected to a handle (Para 218) but does not explicitly disclose that these components form a

Art Unit: 3767

housing with an opening for exposing the arcuate portion of the rotatable drum. Sher, however, teach a multi-site injection system (Fig 1-9) comprising a housing 22 (Fig 9) for supporting a rotatable drum 42 (Fig 7) with microprotrusions 74 (Fig 7) formed thereon, said housing having an opening (as seen in Fig 1) for exposing an arcuate portion of said rotatable drum in order to enable rotation of said rotatable drum by rolling said rotatable drum against a user's skin (Col 3, Lines20-24) for the purpose of reducing the likelihood that the user will be pricked (Col 3, Lines 39-43). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gartstein et al. to include a housing with an opening formed therein, as taught by Sher, for the purpose of reducing the likelihood that the user will be pricked (Col 3, Lines 39-43).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KAMI A. BOSWORTH whose telephone number is (571)270-5414. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 am to 4:00 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571)272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,829 Page 11

Art Unit: 3767

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/K. A. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 3767 /KEVIN C. SIRMONS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767